

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Beet 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET		CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,436	07/18/2003		Ching-Cherng Lee		DCS-9158 3543		
34500	7590	06/29/2005			EXAMINER		
DADE BEHRING INC.					Handy, dwayne k		
LEGAL DEP.	ARTMEN	٧T					
1717 DEERFIELD ROAD					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DEERFIELD, IL 60015					1743		

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					_ i/				
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		10/623,430	3	LEE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dwayne K.		1743					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the period for reply will.	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. s, a reply within the statur period will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 April 2005.							
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3, 5 and 7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4 and 6 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) [Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b)[to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	• •				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,436

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3 were previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baldszun et al. (4,560,269). **This rejection remains in effect.** Please see Response to Arguments below.

Inventorship

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,436 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 5 was previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldszun et al. (4,560,269) in view of Tanner et al. (6,767,607).
- 6. Claims 7 was previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldszun et al. (4,560,269) in view of Farina et al. (6,752,967).

These rejections remain in effect. Please see Response to Arguments below.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, filed 4/14/2005 with respect to the references Demers and Oldenburg have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1 and 6 using these references have been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,436 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

8. Applicant's arguments filed 4/14/2005 with respect to the Baldszun rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In traversing the rejections under Baldszun, applicant has argued that Baldszun does not anticipate claim 1 since the elongate sidewall portions are not separate walls. This argument was also made in the rejection of claims 5 and 7. Applicant arguments involving the combination of the references Baldszun and Tanner are directed to applicant's assertion that Baldszun does not teach a planar wall/window. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner had already noted in the previous action that the side walls form a curved surface at the junction (18-21) of the walls and with the bottom of the container. But the Examiner still believes these walls are separate and parallel. Figure 5 clearly shows two parallel walls in the device (14, 15). Since the device is transparent (column 1, lines 5-10), the Examiner believes these walls meet the limitation of opposing walls having planar, parallel optical windows. In addition, the Examiner notes that while Baldszun does provide lenses for focusing light through one wall of the device, Figure 9 shows an embodiment of the device that includes planar surface 31 as part of the lens element. The Examiner believes this also would be a planar parallel surface that is an optical window.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 4 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,436

Art Unit: 1743

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,436

Art Unit: 1743

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DKH June 26, 2005

Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700